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Introduction

A new in-person household survey using Blaise as the tool to perform computer aided interviewing (CAI), required a complicated sampling algorithm.

The system architects wanted to explore the idea of programming the algorithm in Blaise verses using other software to do it.
Reasons for using Blaise

- Eliminate the need to license, install and maintain separate sampling software
- Eliminate integrating Blaise system with sampling software.
New In-Person Household Survey

- The Survey gathers the information about a household including:
  - A Household member roster
  - Demographics
  - Personal characteristics
  - An extended adult survey
  - An extended youth survey
  - A supplemental adult survey
Sampling Algorithm Requirements

- 2 levels of sampling
  First - Select up to 2 youths and 2 adults to administer extended interviews.
  Second - Select a portion of the adult extended interviews to administer additional survey questions in the adult supplement survey.

- Apply a sampling rate for each person based on a combination of the person’s characteristics (age, race, smoking status, etc). There are over 60 different sampling rates given the different combinations.
Sampling Algorithm Requirements, continued

- Apply adjustments to sampling rates when the initial household respondent reported a person’s information differently than the person reports themselves in the extended interview.

- Generate random numbers, to the thousandth place precision (0.001), for both the household, as well as, each person in the household.

- Sort household members from lowest to highest by their random number.
Blaise Solution

- The 2 requirements we needed to explore for the complete solution was the *random* function and *sorting routine*.

- We had confidence the other requirements could easily be met using Blaise.
Features we like

- Thousandth place precision
- Very simple to use

Fields Definition

RAND1 (RAND1)
{English text}
"Person Random Number generated following a uniform distribution between 0 and 1."
: 0.000..1.000,EMPTY

Note: The precision of the actual number is greater that the thousandth place, so the resulting number is rounded. So both 0 and 1 are generated.
We conducted an analysis in SAS on a dataset of 15,800 records generated by our Blaise program. We wanted to see if the random numbers were evenly distributed.

Our conclusion is Blaise generated random numbers perfectly.

Random Number Generator Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.500607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std Deviation</td>
<td>0.28960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>0.500000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance</td>
<td>0.08387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>0.146000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interquartile Range</td>
<td>0.50400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We decided to program a simple bubble sort to sort random numbers. We used the code below as an example.

```plaintext
For I:=1 TO 10 DO  {simple sorting algorithm}
    For j:=1 to 9 DO
        IF SortedArray[J] > SortedArray[j+1] THEN
            k:= SortedArray[J]
            SortedArray[J]:= SortedArray[j+1]
            SortedArray[j+1]:=k
        ENDIF
    ENDDO
ENDDO
```
Testing the Sort Program

- We tested the sort by using the DEP watch window.

- To activate the DEP Watch Window, the option “/!” from the command line when calling the DEP program. The button to turn off/on the DEP watch screen will be activated in the Blaise Data Entry Screen.
Seeing Sorting Results in the DEP watch window

- This screen shot shows 5 persons in RAND1PersArray, the random number generated for each of the persons stored in RAND1SortArray and the person numbers sorted in the Pnum1 array.
Everyone involved with the project, was pleasantly surprised at how well the sampling algorithm was implemented in Blaise both in performance and results. It was a huge advantage to stay with the same technology used by the data collection instruments. This way we avoided the additional complexities of maintaining and integrating different software and managing and paying for additional software licenses.